



Cyberscope

Audit Report

Teh Beloved One

December 2022

Type ERC20

Network ETH

Address 0x46FC90961c10b9cDeB6D9F6c17A888D6497ffC58

Audited by © cyberscope

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
Review	3
Audit Updates	3
Source Files	3
Analysis	4
Diagnostics	5
RSML - Redundant SafeMath Library	6
Description	6
Recommendation	6
DDP - Decimal Division Precision	7
Description	7
Recommendation	8
CR - Code Repetition	9
Description	9
Recommendation	9
L02 - State Variables could be Declared Constant	10
Description	10
Recommendation	10
L04 - Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions	11
Description	11
Recommendation	12
L07 - Missing Events Arithmetic	13
Description	13
Recommendation	13
L08 - Tautology or Contradiction	14
Description	14
Recommendation	14
L09 - Dead Code Elimination	15
Description	15
Recommendation	16
L13 - Divide before Multiply Operation	17

Description	17
Recommendation	17
L15 - Local Scope Variable Shadowing	18
Description	18
Recommendation	18
L16 - Validate Variable Setters	19
Description	19
Recommendation	19
Functions Analysis	20
Inheritance Graph	25
Flow Graph	26
Summary	27
Disclaimer	28
About Cyberscope	29

Review

Contract Name	ITOSHII
Compiler Version	v0.8.10+commit.fc410830
Optimization	200 runs
Explorer	https://etherscan.io/address/0x46fc90961c10b9cdeb6d9f6c17a888d6497ffc58
Address	0x46fc90961c10b9cdeb6d9f6c17a888d6497ffc58
Network	ETH
Symbol	ITOSHII
Decimals	18
Total Supply	1,000,000,000

Audit Updates

Initial Audit	22 Dec 2022
---------------	-------------

Source Files

Filename	SHA256
ITOSHII.sol	51b846f9cbad4ba69da50b11ba84925e7443168771a81f743e34f8b61e484c70

Analysis

● Critical ● Medium ● Minor / Informative ● Pass

Severity	Code	Description	Status
●	ST	Stops Transactions	Passed
●	OCTD	Transfers Contract's Tokens	Passed
●	OTUT	Transfers User's Tokens	Passed
●	ELFM	Exceeds Fees Limit	Passed
●	ULTW	Transfers Liquidity to Team Wallet	Passed
●	MT	Mints Tokens	Passed
●	BT	Burns Tokens	Passed
●	BC	Blacklists Addresses	Passed

Diagnostics

● Critical ● Medium ● Minor / Informative

Severity	Code	Description	Status
●	RSML	Redundant SafeMath Library	Unresolved
●	DDP	Decimal Division Precision	Unresolved
●	CR	Code Repetition	Unresolved
●	L02	State Variables could be Declared Constant	Unresolved
●	L04	Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions	Unresolved
●	L07	Missing Events Arithmetic	Unresolved
●	L08	Tautology or Contradiction	Unresolved
●	L09	Dead Code Elimination	Unresolved
●	L13	Divide before Multiply Operation	Unresolved
●	L15	Local Scope Variable Shadowing	Unresolved
●	L16	Validate Variable Setters	Unresolved

RSML - Redundant SafeMath Library

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	ITOSHII.sol#L601
Status	Unresolved

Description

SafeMath is a popular Solidity library that provides a set of functions for performing common arithmetic operations in a way that is resistant to integer overflows and underflows.

Starting with Solidity versions that are greater than or equal to 0.8.0, the arithmetic operations revert on underflow and overflow. As a result, the native functionality of the Solidity operations replaces the SafeMath library. Hence, the usage of the SafeMath library adds complexity, overhead and increases unnecessarily the gas consumption.

```
library SafeMath
```

Recommendation

The team is advised to remove the SafeMath library. Since the version of the contract is greater than `0.8.0` then the pure Solidity arithmetic operations produce the same result.

If the previous functionality is required, then the contract could exploit the `unchecked { ... }` statement.

Read more about the breaking change on

<https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.16/080-breaking-changes.html#solidity-v0-8-0-breaking-changes>.

DDP - Decimal Division Precision

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	ITOSHII.sol#L1425
Status	Unresolved

Description

Division of decimal (fixed point) numbers can result in rounding errors due to the way that division is implemented in Solidity. Thus, it may produce issues with precise calculations with decimal numbers.

Solidity represents decimal numbers as integers, with the decimal point implied by the number of decimal places specified in the type (e.g. decimal with 18 decimal places). When a division is performed with decimal numbers, the result is also represented as an integer, with the decimal point implied by the number of decimal places in the type. This can lead to rounding errors, as the result may not be able to be accurately represented as an integer with the specified number of decimal places.

Hence, the splitted shares will not have the exact precision and some funds may not be calculated as expected.

```
if (automatedMarketMakerPairs[to] && sellTotalFees > 0) {
    fees = amount.mul(sellTotalFees).div(100);
    tokensForLiquidity += (fees * sellLiquidityFee) / sellTotalFees;
    tokensForDev += (fees * sellDevFee) / sellTotalFees;
    tokensForMarketing += (fees * sellMarketingFee) / sellTotalFees;
}
// on buy
else if (automatedMarketMakerPairs[from] && buyTotalFees > 0) {
    fees = amount.mul(buyTotalFees).div(100);
    tokensForLiquidity += (fees * buyLiquidityFee) / buyTotalFees;
    tokensForDev += (fees * buyDevFee) / buyTotalFees;
    tokensForMarketing += (fees * buyMarketingFee) / buyTotalFees;
}
```

Recommendation

The contract could calculate the subtraction of the divided funds in the last calculation in order to avoid the division rounding issue.

CR - Code Repetition

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	ITOSHI.sol#L1428,1435
Status	Unresolved

Description

The contract contains repetitive code segments. There are potential issues that can arise when using code segments in Solidity. Some of them can lead to issues like gas efficiency, complexity, readability, security, and maintainability of the source code. It is generally a good idea to try to minimize code repetition where possible.

```
fees = amount.mul(sellTotalFees).div(100);
tokensForLiquidity += (fees * sellLiquidityFee) / sellTotalFees;
tokensForDev += (fees * sellDevFee) / sellTotalFees;
tokensForMarketing += (fees * sellMarketingFee) / sellTotalFees;
```

Recommendation

The team is advised to avoid repeating the same code in multiple places, which can make the contract easier to read and maintain. The authors could try to reuse code wherever possible, as this can help to reduce the complexity and size of your contract. For instance, the contract could reuse the common code segments in an internal function in order to avoid repeating the same code in multiple places.

L02 - State Variables could be Declared Constant

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	ITOSHII.sol#L1058
Status	Unresolved

Description

State variables can be declared as constant using the constant keyword. This means that the value of the state variable cannot be changed after it has been set. Additionally, the constant variables decrease gas consumption of the corresponding transaction.

```
uint256 public manualBurnFrequency = 30 minutes;
```

Recommendation

Constant state variables can be useful when you want to ensure that the value of a state variable cannot be changed by any function in the contract. This can be useful for storing values that are important to the contract's behavior, such as the contract's address or the maximum number of times a certain function can be called. The team is advised to add the constant keyword to state variables that never change.

L04 - Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	ITOSHII.sol#L885,887,918,968,1042,1087,1102,1107,1246,1247,1248,1258,1259,1260,1539,1540,1541
Status	Unresolved

Description

The Solidity style guide is a set of guidelines for writing clean and consistent Solidity code. Adhering to a style guide can help improve the readability and maintainability of your Solidity code, making it easier for others to understand and work with.

The followings are a few key points from the Solidity style guide:

1. Use camelCase for function and variable names, with the first letter in lowercase (e.g., myVariable, updateCounter).
2. Use PascalCase for contract, struct, and enum names, with the first letter in uppercase (e.g., MyContract, UserStruct, ErrorEnum).
3. Use uppercase for constant variables and enums (e.g., MAX_VALUE, ERROR_CODE).
4. Use indentation to improve readability and structure.
5. Use spaces between operators and after commas.
6. Use comments to explain the purpose and behavior of your code.
7. Keep lines short (around 120 characters) to improve readability.

```
function DOMAIN_SEPARATOR() external view returns (bytes32);

function PERMIT_TYPEHASH() external pure returns (bytes32);

function MINIMUM_LIQUIDITY() external pure returns (uint256);

function WETH() external pure returns (address);

address public constant deadAddress = address(0xdead);
mapping(address => bool) public _isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount;

...
```

Recommendation

By following the Solidity naming convention guidelines, the codebase increased the readability, maintainability, and makes it easier to work with.

You can find more information on the Solidity documentation

<https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.17/style-guide.html#naming-convention>.

L07 - Missing Events Arithmetic

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	ITOSHII.sol#L1213,1222,1230,1250,1262,1551
Status	Unresolved

Description

Events are a way to record and log information about changes or actions that occur within a contract. They are often used to notify external parties or clients about events that have occurred within the contract, such as the transfer of tokens or the completion of a task.

It's important to carefully design and implement the events in a contract, and to ensure that all required events are included. It's also a good idea to test the contract to ensure that all events are being properly triggered and logged.

```
swapTokensAtAmount = newAmount;  
maxTransactionAmount = newNum * (10**18);  
maxWallet = newNum * (10**18);  
buyMarketingFee = _marketingFee;  
sellMarketingFee = _marketingFee;  
lpBurnFrequency = _frequencyInSeconds;
```

Recommendation

By including all required events in the contract and thoroughly testing the contract's functionality, you can help to ensure that the contract performs as intended and does not have any missing events that could cause issues with its arithmetic.

L08 - Tautology or Contradiction

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	ITOSHII.sol#L1547
Status	Unresolved

Description

A tautology is a logical statement that is always true, regardless of the values of its variables. A contradiction is a logical statement that is always false, regardless of the values of its variables.

Using tautologies or contradictions can lead to unintended behavior and can make your code harder to understand and maintain. It is generally considered good practice to avoid tautologies and contradictions in your Solidity code.

```
require(  
    _percent <= 1000 && _percent >= 0,  
    "Must set auto LP burn percent between 0% and 10%"  
);
```

Recommendation

The team is advised to carefully consider the logical conditions is using in the code and ensure that it is well-defined and make sense in the context of the smart contract.

L09 - Dead Code Elimination

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	ITOSHII.sol#L503
Status	Unresolved

Description

In Solidity, dead code is code that is written in the contract, but is never executed or reached during normal contract execution. Dead code can occur for a variety of reasons, such as:

- Conditional statements that are always false.
- Functions that are never called.
- Unreachable code (e.g., code that follows a return statement).

Dead code can make a contract more difficult to understand and maintain, and can also increase the size of the contract and the cost of deploying and interacting with it.

```
function _burn(address account, uint256 amount) internal virtual {
    require(account != address(0), "ERC20: burn from the zero address");

    _beforeTokenTransfer(account, address(0), amount);

    uint256 accountBalance = _balances[account];
    ...
    _totalSupply -= amount;

    emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);

    _afterTokenTransfer(account, address(0), amount);
}
```

Recommendation

To avoid creating dead code, it's important to carefully consider the logic and flow of the contract and to remove any code that is not needed or that is never executed. This can help improve the clarity and efficiency of the contract.

L13 - Divide before Multiply Operation

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	ITOSHI.sol#L1428,1429,1430,1431,1435,1436,1437,1438
Status	Unresolved

Description

It is important to be aware of the order of operations when performing arithmetic calculations. This is especially important when working with large numbers, as the order of operations can affect the final result of the calculation. Performing divisions before multiplications may cause loss of prediction.

```
fees = amount.mul(buyTotalFees).div(100);  
tokensForDev += (fees * buyDevFee) / buyTotalFees;
```

Recommendation

To avoid this issue, it is recommended to carefully consider the order of operations when performing arithmetic calculations in Solidity. It's generally a good idea to use parentheses to specify the order of operations. The basic rule is that the multiplications should be prior to the divisions.

L15 - Local Scope Variable Shadowing

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	ITOSHI.sol#L1143
Status	Unresolved

Description

Local scope variable shadowing occurs when a local variable with the same name as a variable in an outer scope is declared within a function or code block. When this happens, the local variable "shadows" the outer variable, meaning that it takes precedence over the outer variable within the scope in which it is declared.

```
uint256 totalSupply = 1_000_000_000 * 1e18;
```

Recommendation

It's important to be aware of shadowing when working with local variables, as it can lead to confusion and unintended consequences if not used correctly. It's generally a good idea to choose unique names for local variables to avoid shadowing outer variables and causing confusion.

L16 - Validate Variable Setters

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	ITOSHI.sol#L1297,1302
Status	Unresolved

Description

The contract performs operations on variables that have been configured on user-supplied input. These variables are missing of proper check for the case where a value is zero. This can lead to problems when the contract is executed, as certain actions may not be properly handled when the value is zero.

```
marketingWallet = newMarketingWallet;  
devWallet = newWallet;
```

Recommendation

By adding the proper check, the contract will not allow the variables to be configured with zero value. This will ensure that the contract can handle all possible input values and avoid unexpected behavior or errors. Hence, it can help to prevent the contract from being exploited or operating unexpectedly.

Functions Analysis

Contract	Type	Bases		
	Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
Context	Implementation			
	_msgSender	Internal		
	_msgData	Internal		
Ownable	Implementation	Context		
		Public	✓	-
	owner	Public		-
	renounceOwnership	Public	✓	onlyOwner
	transferOwnership	Public	✓	onlyOwner
	_transferOwnership	Internal	✓	
IERC20	Interface			
	totalSupply	External		-
	balanceOf	External		-
	transfer	External	✓	-
	allowance	External		-
	approve	External	✓	-
	transferFrom	External	✓	-
IERC20Metadata	Interface	IERC20		
	name	External		-
	symbol	External		-
	decimals	External		-

ERC20	Implementation	Context, IERC20, IERC20Met adata		
		Public	✓	-
	name	Public		-
	symbol	Public		-
	decimals	Public		-
	totalSupply	Public		-
	balanceOf	Public		-
	transfer	Public	✓	-
	allowance	Public		-
	approve	Public	✓	-
	transferFrom	Public	✓	-
	increaseAllowance	Public	✓	-
	decreaseAllowance	Public	✓	-
	_transfer	Internal	✓	
	_mint	Internal	✓	
	_burn	Internal	✓	
	_approve	Internal	✓	
	_beforeTokenTransfer	Internal	✓	
	_afterTokenTransfer	Internal	✓	
SafeMath	Library			
	tryAdd	Internal		
	trySub	Internal		
	tryMul	Internal		
	tryDiv	Internal		
	tryMod	Internal		
	add	Internal		

	sub	Internal		
	mul	Internal		
	div	Internal		
	mod	Internal		
	sub	Internal		
	div	Internal		
	mod	Internal		
IUniswapV2Factory	Interface			
	feeTo	External		-
	feeToSetter	External		-
	getPair	External		-
	allPairs	External		-
	allPairsLength	External		-
	createPair	External	✓	-
	setFeeTo	External	✓	-
	setFeeToSetter	External	✓	-
IUniswapV2Pair	Interface			
	name	External		-
	symbol	External		-
	decimals	External		-
	totalSupply	External		-
	balanceOf	External		-
	allowance	External		-
	approve	External	✓	-
	transfer	External	✓	-
	transferFrom	External	✓	-
	DOMAIN_SEPARATOR	External		-


	PERMIT_TYPEHASH	External		-
	nonces	External		-
	permit	External	✓	-
	MINIMUM_LIQUIDITY	External		-
	factory	External		-
	token0	External		-
	token1	External		-
	getReserves	External		-
	price0CumulativeLast	External		-
	price1CumulativeLast	External		-
	kLast	External		-
	mint	External	✓	-
	burn	External	✓	-
	swap	External	✓	-
	skim	External	✓	-
	sync	External	✓	-
	initialize	External	✓	-
IUniswapV2Router02	Interface			
	factory	External		-
	WETH	External		-
	addLiquidity	External	✓	-
	addLiquidityETH	External	Payable	-
	swapExactTokensForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens	External	✓	-
	swapExactETHForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens	External	Payable	-
	swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens	External	✓	-
ITOSHII	Implementation	ERC20, Ownable		

		Public	✓	ERC20
		External	Payable	-
	enableTrading	External	✓	onlyOwner
	removeLimits	External	✓	onlyOwner
	disableTransferDelay	External	✓	onlyOwner
	updateSwapTokensAtAmount	External	✓	onlyOwner
	updateMaxTxnAmount	External	✓	onlyOwner
	updateMaxWalletAmount	External	✓	onlyOwner
	excludeFromMaxTransaction	Public	✓	onlyOwner
	updateSwapEnabled	External	✓	onlyOwner
	updateBuyFees	External	✓	onlyOwner
	updateSellFees	External	✓	onlyOwner
	excludeFromFees	Public	✓	onlyOwner
	setAutomatedMarketMakerPair	Public	✓	onlyOwner
	_setAutomatedMarketMakerPair	Private	✓	
	updateMarketingWallet	External	✓	onlyOwner
	updateDevWallet	External	✓	onlyOwner
	isExcludedFromFees	Public		-
	_transfer	Internal	✓	
	swapTokensForEth	Private	✓	
	addLiquidity	Private	✓	
	swapBack	Private	✓	
	setAutoLPBurnSettings	External	✓	onlyOwner
	autoBurnLiquidityPairTokens	Internal	✓	
	manualBurnLiquidityPairTokens	External	✓	onlyOwner

Inheritance Graph



Flow Graph



Summary

The Beloved One is an interesting project that has a friendly and growing community. The Smart Contract analysis reported no compiler errors or critical issues. The Contract Owner can access some admin functions that can not be used in a malicious way to disturb the users' transactions. There is also a limit of max 2% fee. Additionally, the contract has a throttling mechanism on purchase transactions. The mechanisms minimize the purchase to one per block.

Disclaimer

The information provided in this report does not constitute investment, financial or trading advice and you should not treat any of the document's content as such. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company without Cyberscope's prior written consent. This report is not nor should be considered an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not nor should be regarded as an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Cyberscope to perform a security assessment. This document does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors' business, business model or legal compliance. This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report represents an extensive assessment process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. Cyberscope's position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. Cyberscope's goal is to help reduce the attack vectors and the high level of variance associated with utilizing new and consistently changing technologies and in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze. The assessment services provided by Cyberscope are subject to dependencies and are under continuing development. You agree that your access and/or use including but not limited to any services reports and materials will be at your sole risk on an as-is where-is and as-available basis. Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives, false negatives and other unpredictable results. The services may access and depend upon multiple layers of third parties.

About Cyberscope

Cyberscope is a blockchain cybersecurity company that was founded with the vision to make web3.0 a safer place for investors and developers. Since its launch, it has worked with thousands of projects and is estimated to have secured tens of millions of investors' funds.

Cyberscope is one of the leading smart contract audit firms in the crypto space and has built a high-profile network of clients and partners.



The Cyberscope team

<https://www.cyberscope.io>